digital cultural heritage: _____ FUTURE VISIONS

Edited by Kelly Greenop and Chris Landorf

Papers presented at the *digital cultural heritage*: FUTURE VISIONS Conference

19–20 April 2017 in Brisbane, Australia

http://digitalculturalheritageconference.com/

Nancarrow, J (2018) Countering the 'digital uncanny': Post-processing for 3-D digital heritage.

In K Greenop and C Landorf (eds) *Proceedings of digital cultural heritage:*FUTURE VISIONS. Brisbane:
Architecture Theory Criticism History Research Centre,
School of Architecture, The University of Queensland,
pp 21.

The following is published as an abstract only. The full paper is currently being developed for submission to an academic journal for a special edition on digital cultural heritage.

ISBN 978-0-646-98233-5



© 2017 digital cultural heritage: FUTURE VISIONS Conference, Brisbane, Australia

Copyright for the proceedings belongs to the Architecture Theory Criticism and History Research Centre at The University of Queensland. Copyright for the abstracts and papers contained in these proceedings remains the property of the authors. Copyright of images in these proceedings belongs to the authors, or the images appear with permissions granted to those authors. Individual authors were responsible for obtaining the appropriate permission to reproduce any or all image published in the proceedings. The editors and the publisher accept no responsibility if any author has not obtained the appropriate permissions.

Other than fair dealing for the purpose of private study, research or review, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part of these proceedings may be reproduced by any process without prior permission from the publishers and authors.

The convenors of the digital cultural heritage: FUTURE VISIONS Conference acknowledge the generous support and sponsorship of the Architecture Theory Criticism and History Research Centre at The University of Queensland and the Ian Potter Foundation. Thank you also to the peer reviewers who gave their time and expertise to the refereering of papers, the State Library of Queensland who hosted the event, and Carmen Armstrong who provided invaluable project management support.

The papers published in these proceedings are a record of the conference mentioned on the title page. They reflect the authors' opinions and, in the interests of timely dissemination, are published as submitted in their final form without change. Their inclusion in this publication does not necessarily constitute endorsement by the editors.

Editors: Kelly Greenop and Chris Landorf Design and Production: Mark Fletcher

Publisher: Architecture Theory Criticism and History, The University of Queensland

The Conference Convenors received a total of 44 abstracts. Abstracts underwent a double-blind peer review by two members of the Conference Organising Committee. Authors of accepted abstracts (32) were invited to submit a full paper. All submitted full papers (18) were again double-blind peer reviewed by two reviewers. Papers were matched as closely as possible to referees in a related field and with similar interests to the authors. Sixteen full papers were accepted for presentation at the conference and a further 6 papers were invited to present based on submitted abstracts and work-in-progress. Revised papers underwent a final post-conference review before notification of acceptance for publication in these conference proceedings.

Please note that papers displayed as abstracts only in the proceedings are currently being developed for submission to a digital cultural heritage special edition of an academic journal.

Abstract

An undesirable result of photogrammetry and laser scanning in the cultural heritage context can flatten shadows, light, and surface textural variations onthree dimensional digital models of original artefacts. Many of these important visual cues contribute to our understanding of digital models as "historical objects", and the resulting overly digitised replicas – lacking visual context and depth – can impede user interactivity. Viewers of digital heritage can become deterred by the uncanny, static or unreal aesthetic of some photogrammetric and laser scans. Yet digital curators have the opportunity to address some of these issues.

This paper interrogates curatorial decisions relating to digital post-processing; assessing the relevance of theories of the 'uncanny' and the 'uncanny valley', as well authenticity in digital intervention. The Emotions3D project applied considerable postprocessing modification to align models with their original photorealistic state and create visual context. In contrast, the Smithsonian Apollo 11 module project left model data 'raw', with the invitation for users to experiment with available datasets. While 3-D digital visualisation in museums poses significant technical and curatorial challenges, subtle post-processing choices are deeply emotionally internalised by viewers. This article argues that accessibility and user engagement with digital artefacts can therefore be enhanced through best-practice post-processing techniques.

Keywords: Photogrammetry, structure-from-motion modelling, 'uncanny valley', post-processing, user-engagement, emotional interactivity.

Acknowledgments:

I would like to acknowledge both the assistance of the Faculty of Arts and Humanities at the University of Western Australia and the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for the History of Emotions (1100-1800) for their support during the preparation of this article.

Jane-Heloise Nancarrow
University of Western Australia

Countering the 'digital uncanny': Post-processing for 3-D digital heritage

29 21